## Image Retrieval and Classification Using Affine Invariant B-Spline Representation and Neural Networks

#### Yiannis Xirouhakis, Yannis Avrithis and Stefanos Kollias



National Technical University of Athens Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering

#### **Problem Statement**

- Content-based image retrieval from video databases based on object shape (contour)
- Extraction of key-frames that provide sufficient information about video content
- Extraction of video objects based on color and motion segmentation and tracking
- Affine-invariant *B-spline representation* of object contours
- Supervised classification of video objects into prototype object classes using *neural network*

## **Applications**

- Direct content-based retrieval based on object shape apart from other features (color, texture, motion etc.)
- High level of abstraction in the representation of video sequences using higher level classes as combinations of primary object classes
- Multimedia database management
- Reduction of storage requirements for search capabilities
- □ Faster and more efficient *video queries*

## **Assumptions / Constraints**

- High resolution images / video available
- Main mobile objects existing in foreground for good performance of motion segmentation algorithms
- Images of relatively simple background for good performance of color segmentation
- Relatively planar objects in foreground to ensure contour similarity for similar objects

## **Video Processing**



Scene cut detection

- Feature extraction for each frame
- Formulation of scene feature vectors
- Selection of the most representative scenes
- Extraction of key frames for each scene

#### **Scene Cut Detection**



- Computation of the sum of the block motion estimation error
- Selection of frames for which sum exceeds a certain threshold
- Computations applied directly to MPEG coded sequences

## **Color Segmentation**

- Segmentation according to spatial homogeneity
- Block resolution (reduction of computational time, exploitation of MPEG information)
- Hierarchical merging of similar segments (w.r.t. color homogeneity & segment size)
- Color features: number of segments, location, size & mean color of each segment
- Object tracking: connected regions encouraged to remain connected in successive frames

#### **Color Segmentation Results**















First stage of segmentation

Two original frames

## **Motion Segmentation**

- Segmentation according to spatial homogeneity
- Block resolution (reduction of computational time)
- Motion vectors derived from motion analysis, or directly from MPEG stream
- Median filtering of derived motion vectors: elimination of "noise", preservation of "edges"
- Motion features: number of segments, location, size & mean motion vector of each segment

#### **Motion Segmentation Results**



#### Motion segmentation without smoothing



Motion segmentation with smoothing

#### **Scene Selection Mechanism**

- *Scene feature vector* constructed based on frame feature vectors over duration of scene
   *Clustering* of similar scene feature vectors s<sub>i</sub>∈ ℜ<sup>M</sup>, i=1,...,N<sub>S</sub> and selection of cluster representatives c<sub>i</sub>, i=1,...,K<sub>S</sub>
   Average *distortion* D(c<sub>1</sub>, c<sub>2</sub>,...,c<sub>K<sub>S</sub></sub>) = ∑<sub>i=1</sub> ∑<sub>s∈Z<sub>i</sub></sub> d(s, c<sub>i</sub>) is minimized w.r.t. c<sub>i</sub>, i=1,...,K<sub>S</sub>
- Generalized Lloyd or K-means algorithm used as an optimization method

## **Key Frame Selection Mechanism**

Minimization of a *correlation criterion*: key frames should not be similar to each other
 *Correlation measure*

$$R(\mathbf{x}) = R(x_1, \dots, x_{K_F}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{K_F - 1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{K_F} (\rho_{x_i, x_j})^2\right)^{1/2}$$

of feature vectors  $\mathbf{f}_i$ ,  $i = x_1, \dots, x_{K_F}$  is minimized w.r.t. index vector  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{K_F})$ 

corresponding to a set of selected frames

Exhaustive search is unfeasible: minimization implemented by *logarithmic search algorithm* 

## **Estimation of Curve Parameters**

- Curve modeling using *cubic B-splines*
- Curve matching using *control* and *knot-points* for modeled curves
- Curve matching using *Fourier descriptors*
- Affine-invariant curve description and matching using curve moments

# **Curve Modeling using B-Splines (1)**

- □ A dense set of *m* data curve points  $s_j$ , j = 0, ..., m-1 is given
- Input curve is modeled using closed cubic Bsplines consisting of n+1 connected curve segments ri, i = 0,1,..,n
- Each segment is a linear combination of four cubic polynomials in the parameter  $t \in [0,1]$ :

$$\mathbf{r}_{i}(t) = \mathbf{C}_{i-1}Q_{0}(t) + \mathbf{C}_{i}Q_{1}(t) + \mathbf{C}_{i+1}Q_{2}(t) + \mathbf{C}_{i+2}Q_{3}(t)$$

where  $Q_k(t) = a_{k0}t^3 + a_{k1}t^2 + a_{k2}t + a_{k3}$ , k = 0,1,2,3

Basis functions Qk (t) are determined using
 continuity constraints in position, slope and curvature
 the invariance property to coordinate transformations
 Modeled B-spline curve is given by

$$\mathbf{r}(t') = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathbf{r}_{i}(t'-i) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathbf{C}_{i \mod(n+1)} N_{i}(t')$$
where  $0 \le t' \le n-2$ ,  
and N<sub>i</sub>(t) denote the  $N_{i}(t') = \begin{cases} Q_{3}(t'-i+3) & i-3 \le t' < i-2 \\ Q_{2}(t'-i+2) & i-2 \le t' < i-1 \\ Q_{1}(t'-i+1) & i-1 \le t' < i \\ Q_{0}(t'-i) & i \le t' < i+1 \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$ 

# **Curve Modeling using B-Splines (3)**

Control points are determined, such that the error between the observed data and the Bspline curve  $d^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left\| \mathbf{s}_j - \mathbf{r}(t'_j) \right\|^2$  is minimized **I** For appropriate parametric values of t', MMSE solution for the control points is given as  $\mathbf{C}_{f} = (\mathbf{P}^{T}\mathbf{P})^{-1}\mathbf{P}^{T}\mathbf{f}$  where  $\mathbf{f} = [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$  and  $\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} N_0(t_1') + N_{n+1}(t_1') & N_1(t_1') + N_{n+2}(t_1') & N_2(t_1') + N_{n+3}(t_1') & N_3(t_1') & \cdots & N_n(t_1') \\ N_0(t_2') + N_{n+1}(t_2') & N_1(t_2') + N_{n+2}(t_2') & N_2(t_2') + N_{n+3}(t_2') & N_3(t_2') & \cdots & N_n(t_2') \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix}$  $\left| N_{0}(t'_{m}) + N_{n+1}(t'_{m}) - N_{1}(t'_{m}) + N_{n+2}(t'_{m}) - N_{2}(t'_{m}) + N_{n+3}(t'_{m}) - N_{3}(t'_{m}) - \cdots - N_{n}(t'_{m}) \right|$ 

## **Curve Modeling using B-Splines (4)**

- □ Allocation of *t*' values using the *Chord Length* (CL) method with  $t'_1 = 0$ ,  $t'_{max} = n - 2$  and  $t'_j = t'_{j-1} + t'_{max} \cdot \|\mathbf{s}_j - \mathbf{s}_{j-1}\| \cdot \left(\sum_{l=2}^m \|\mathbf{s}_l - \mathbf{s}_{l-1}\|\right)^{-1}, \quad j = 2,...,m$
- Implies that the chord length is a very close approximation to the arc length, assuming constant speed of a particle onto the curve
- CL method suffers from non-uniform noise and non-uniform sampling. Alternatively, the inverse chord length method (ICL) can be used.

## **Curve Matching using Knot-Points (1)**

- □ A set of *M* different curves (sets of samples) is modeled using *M* cubic B-splines
- Control points cannot determine shape similarity, since different sets of control points may describe the same curve
- For each curve we derive its *knot-points*  $p_i$ , *i*=0,1,...,*n*, using its control points as  $p_f = AC_f$ where A is the circulant matrix with [2/3,1/6,0,...,0,1/6] on its first row.
- □ Knot-points belong to the derived B-spline

## **Curve Matching using Knot-Points (2)**

- Re-allocation of knot-points must be performed on each curve so that they are equal in number (/) and that they correspond
- □ The first knot-point is placed where the curve intersects the x-axis with its centroid on (0,0)
- The rest /-1 knot-points are placed equally spaced onto each curve
- □ The classifier based on the re-allocated knotpoints is based on minimizing  $d^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \|\mathbf{p}_i^{(a)} - \mathbf{p}_i^{(b)}\|^2$ where *a*, *b* denote splines subject to comparison

# **Curve Matching using F.D. (1)**

□ At this point 2 major problems arise:

- the comparison and classification of curves must be invariant to possible affine transformations
- a rapid initial classification is demanded, to not compare a sample curve to all prototype curves
- □ These problems are addressed using *Fourier descriptors (F.D.), curve moments* and *NN*
- □ For each sample s<sub>k</sub>, k=0,...,m-1, the sequence b<sub>k</sub>=s<sub>xk</sub>+ j s<sub>yk</sub> is obtained and discrete Fourier factors are given by

$$F_i = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \mathbf{b}_k \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{j2\pi \cdot i \cdot k}{m}\right), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$$

# **Curve Matching using F.D. (2)**

□ If b<sub>k'</sub> a sequence obtained from b<sub>k</sub> by scaling, translation, rotation and shift:

$$F_i' = a \cdot F_i \cdot \exp\left(j\frac{\vartheta - 2\pi \cdot i \cdot k_0}{m}\right) + \mathbf{b}_0 \cdot \delta(0)$$

- □ Normalized Fourier descriptors  $\mathbf{v}_i = |F_i|/|F_1|$ are invariant to *translation*, *rotation* and *starting point*
- Normalized Fourier descriptors are fed into a NN, so only the estimated knot-points are used, for reasonably small number of NN inputs

## **Curve Matching using Moments (1)**

- Although Fourier descriptors possess desirable properties, they are poor description for the contour curve of an object.
- □ For this reason, they are used only as an 'initial description'.
- After assigning a class to each input sample curve, fine match is performed using curve moments
- □ Each spline is parametrized in terms of its arc lengths *s* as R(s) = [x(s), y(s)]

## **Curve Matching using Moments (2)**

- □ The (*p*,*q*) order moments are estimated by  $m(p,q)^{(j)} = \int_{s=0}^{s} x^{p}(s) \cdot y^{q}(s) \cdot w_{j}(x,y) ds$
- Using appropriate kernels *w<sub>j</sub>*, *affine parameters* L, c aligning two curves, r(t')<sup>(a)</sup> = L · r(t')<sup>(b)</sup> + c , are estimated from their moments up to order two, solving two second degree polynomials
   For each of *M* modeled B-splines, curve moments are computed and stored

## **Curve Matching using Moments (3)**

- For any B-spline corresponding to an input sample curve, moments are computed and possible L, c are obtained for all *M* curves
- Input curve is subjected to the estimated affine transformation before comparison to the corresponding prototype
- □ *Knot-point classification* is then performed
- Curve moments, although superior to Fourier descriptors, is *time-consuming*; so it is used only to refine the results obtained from the NN.

## **Object Classification**

- Definition of primary *object classes* (airplanes, cars, vases etc.) using groups of curve prototypes, organized in object class database
- Each class contains several *prototypes* depicting different object instances or variations, different views or views in different level of detail
- Direct comparison of sample curves with all prototypes through curve matching extremely time consuming: *neural network (NN)* used at first stage of classification

## **Neural Network Training**

- □ Normalized Fourier descriptors  $\mathbf{v} = [v_1, v_2, ..., v_N]^T$ used as input to feedforward neural network
- □ NN attempts to map *input pattern* **v** to desired output pattern  $\mathbf{d} = [d_1, d_2, ..., d_C]^T$
- □ In *training stage*, inputs v<sup>(p)</sup>, p=1,...,M, corresponding to a set of M curve prototypes, are fed into the NN
- Desired outputs d<sup>(p)</sup>, p=1,...,M are determined by setting one component of d<sup>(p)</sup> equal to 1 and all others to 0

#### **Neural Network Architecture**

#### Two hidden layers, with N input neurons, N<sub>1</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> neurons in the 1st and 2nd hidden layer, and C neurons in the output layer:



Levenberg-Marquardt method used for training, attempting to minimize the sum-squared error between desired and actual output patterns

#### **Neural Network Classification**

- □ In *allocation* stage, the *B*-spline representation  $\mathbf{v} = [v_1, v_2, ..., v_N]^T$  of a test curve is used as input to the NN
- The input curve is typically classified to the object class that corresponds to the maximum network output
- In order to avoid misclassification, R classes are selected, corresponding to the network outputs with the maximum values. Final classification obtained through *curve matching*

## **Knot Point Estimation Results (1)**



















Sample contours

B-splines with knot points

**B-splines with** control points

## **Knot Point Estimation Results (2)**



#### **Matching Results using Moments**





#### **Sample contours**

**Curve Matching** 

#### **NN Classification Results**

		Sample Curves									
		ß	ß	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	M	<pre>{}</pre>	\${ }{	K Contraction of the second se	Ţ		
Object Class	$\int$	0.47	0.83	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01
	July	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.72	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00
	and the second sec	0.05	0.03	0.49	0.08	0.84	0.97	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.05
	n (n	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.95	0.68	0.04	0.00
		0.53	0.12	0.00	0.01	0.91	0.29	0.74	0.62	0.99	0.98

#### **NN and Curve Matching Results**

<b>Object</b> Class	NN Classification (1/2 correct)	Curve Matching (1 correct)		
	92 %	95 %		
	98 %	100 %		
for	89 %	96 %		
	95 %	98 %		
	99 %	100 %		
Total	94.6 %	97.8 %		

## **Conclusions - Further Work**

- Direct content-based retrieval from video databases based on object shape apart from other features (color, texture, motion etc.)
- Affine-invariant *B-spline representation* of object contours
- Supervised classification of video objects into prototype object classes using *neural network*
- High level of abstraction in the representation of video sequences using higher level classes as combinations of primary object classes